

# ON THE CONFIGURATION SPACE OF POINTS AND THE CASSON INVARIANT

TETSUHIRO MORIYAMA

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M$  be an oriented closed homology 3-sphere, and  $\lambda(M)$  Casson invariant of  $M$ . In this report, we construct some topological invariant  $I(M)$  such that

- $I(M) = \lambda(M)$  (Theorem 1).
- $I(M) = -\frac{\text{Sign } X_{f_M}}{8}$ , where  $X_{f_M}$  is a certain 4-dimensional submanifold embedded in the two point configuration space of  $M \setminus \{p\}$  (Theorem 2)

And we will also see outline of the proofs of these theorems (§ 5).

Roughly speaking,  $\lambda(M)$  is defined by

$$\lambda(M) = \frac{1}{2} \# \frac{\text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), SU(2))^{\text{irr}}}{\text{conjugacy}},$$

and it is known that  $\lambda(M)$  is determined by the Dehn surgery formula (c.f. [1]). On the other hand,  $\lambda(M)$  is the only one non-trivial invariant which is finite type of degree 1 for both the algebraically split link surgery and Torelli surgery.

Let  $X$  be an oriented compact smooth spin 4-manifold with boundary  $\partial X = M$ , such  $X$  always exists. Rohlin invariant  $\mu(M)$  of  $M$  is defined by

$$\mu(M) \equiv \frac{\text{Sign } X}{8} \pmod{2},$$

and there is a formula

$$\lambda(M) \equiv \mu(M) \pmod{2}.$$

Namely,  $\lambda(M)$  is an integral lift of Rohlin invariant  $\mu(M)$ .

On the face of things, the definitions of Casson invariant and Rohlin invariant looks very different: one is come from the flat  $SU(2)$  connections, and the another one is from 4-dimensional. But some relations between Casson invariant and the signature of 4-manifolds are known.

---

*Key words and phrases.* Casson invariant, signature, configuration space, framing, finite type invariant.

Here are such two examples as follows. Let  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be the Milnor fiber of the Seifert homology 3-sphere  $\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , then

$$-\frac{\text{Sign } V(a_1, \dots, a_n)}{8} = \lambda(\Sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n))$$

([7],[8]). The another example is about Ohta's invariant  $\tau(M)$  (preprint). He constructed some oriented compact smooth 4-manifold  $N$  with boundary  $\partial N \cong M$  in the moduli space of anti-self dual connections on some principal  $SU(2)$  bundle  $P \rightarrow M \times S^1$ , and proved that  $N$  is spin and

$$\tau(M) = -\frac{\text{Sign } N}{8}$$

is an topological invariant of  $M$ . Hence, one can see that

$$\tau(M) \equiv \mu(M) \pmod{2}.$$

It is unknown if  $\tau(M) = \lambda(M)$ .

As mentioned above, this report gives some topological construction of Casson invariant by using the configuration spaces of 3-manifolds. This results depends on the work by Kuperberg-Thurston [11] that relate our invariant to Casson invariant. Our construction corresponds to the first non-trivial term of their invariant. In [11], they gave a purely topological definition of the perturbative quantum invariants of links and 3-manifolds. Ordinarily, this kind of work for the definition of the perturbative quantum invariants of 3-manifolds and links is by Kontsevich [10]. The related works, which uses the configuration spaces, was given by Axelrod-Singer [2, 3], Bott-Taubes [6], later by Bott-Cattaneo [4, 5], and Kuperberg-Thurston.

## 2. CONFIGURATION SPACE OF POINTS AND GAUSS MAP

Two point configuration space  $C_2(X)$  of a space  $X$  is defined by

$$C_2(X) = \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in X, x \neq y\}.$$

**2.1. Euclidean space.** Let

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{R}^3}: C_2(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow S^2$$

be the map defined by

$$\varphi_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x, y) = \frac{y - x}{\|y - x\|}$$

for  $(x, y) \in C_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  which is called Gauss map. There exists a homeomorphism

$$C_2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cong \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty) \times S^2, \quad (x, y) \mapsto (z, r, v)$$

by the corresponding

$$z = \frac{x + y}{2}, \quad r = \frac{\|y - x\|}{2}, \quad v = \varphi_{\mathbb{R}^3}(x, y).$$

Immediately, the pre-image  $\varphi_{\mathbb{R}^3}^{-1}(v)$  of a point  $v \in S^2$  is contractible. In particular, its signature is zero. As we will see later (Theorem 2), this vanishing corresponds to the fact  $\lambda(S^3) = 0$ .

**2.2. The map  $\varphi_f: \partial C_2(\hat{M}) \rightarrow S^2$ .** We compactify  $C_2(\hat{M})$  by the method of Bott-Taubes's paper. In this subsection, we construct a “partial Gauss map”  $\varphi_f: U \rightarrow S^2$ , where  $U$  is a complement of a certain compact subset of  $C_2(\hat{M})$  by using some additional data  $f$ . By the definition of the compactification of  $C_2(\hat{M})$ ,  $\varphi_f$  defined on  $U$  naturally extends to  $\partial C_2(\hat{M})$ .

Let  $M$  be an oriented closed homology 3-sphere and set  $\hat{M} = M \# \mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $f: T\hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{M} \times \mathbb{R}^3$  be a framing of  $T\hat{M}$ . In this report, we always assume that any framing of  $T\hat{M}$  is compatible with Euclidean framing  $f_{\mathbb{R}^3}$  over the complement of some compact subset of  $\hat{M}$ . Now, we define a map  $\varphi_f: U \rightarrow S^2$  as follows, where  $U = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3$ . Each  $U_i$  is defined in later three cases.

**2.2.1. Two points are very close.** First, let

$$U_1 = \{(x, y) \in C_2(\hat{M}) \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\},$$

where  $d$  is a metric of  $\hat{M}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough. Suppose  $(x, y) \in U_1$ . Then we can define the “direction”  $\varphi_f(x, y) \in S^2$  from  $x$  to  $y$  by using  $f$ .

**2.2.2. one point is in the end of  $\hat{M}$ .** Suppose that  $\hat{M}$  is obtained by connecting a 3-disk  $D_p \subset M$  around  $p \in M$  and  $D^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  around 0. Let  $V \subset \hat{M}$  be the open subset corresponding to  $M \setminus D_p$ , and  $W \subset \hat{M}$  corresponding  $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus D^3$ . Let

$$U_2 = (V \times W) \cup (W \cup V).$$

We assume that  $f$  coincides with  $f_{\mathbb{R}^3}$  on some open set including the closure of  $W$ .

If  $x \in V$  and  $y \in W$ , then define  $\varphi_f(x, y) = y$ . Note that this definition makes sense, because we can think  $y$  as a point in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Also define  $\varphi_f(y, x) = -y$ .

2.2.3. *Both two points in the end.* Let

$$U_3 = C_2(W),$$

and suppose  $(x, y) \in U_3$ . In this case, we define

$$\varphi_f(x, y) = \frac{y - x}{\|y - x\|}.$$

2.3. **TEST.** What we see in this subsection is how we get an invariant of  $(M, f)$  which is an integral lift of Rohlin invariant under the assumption of the existence of a map

$$\tilde{\varphi}_f: C_2(\hat{M}) \rightarrow S^2$$

such that  $\tilde{\varphi}_f|_{\partial C_2(\hat{M})} = \varphi_f$ .

Suppose  $(M, f)$  satisfies the above assumption. Taking a regular value  $v \in S^2$  of  $\tilde{\varphi}_f$ , set

$$X_f = \tilde{\varphi}_f^{-1}(v).$$

Then  $X_f$  is an oriented compact smooth 4-manifold satisfying

- (1)  $\partial X_f \cong M \# M \# (-M)$ ,
- (2)  $X_f$  is spin,
- (3) the signature  $\sigma_f$  of  $X_f$  depends only on  $(M, f)$ .

The property (1) is from the definition of  $\varphi_f$ . Since the normal bundle of  $X_f \subset C_2(\hat{M})$  and the tangent bundle  $TC_2(\hat{M})$  are spin,  $X_f$  is also spin, so we have (2). Another manifold  $X_f'$  come from another map  $\tilde{\varphi}_f': C_2(\hat{M}) \rightarrow S^2$  is cobordant to  $X_f$  relative to the boundary, hence. Consequently,  $\sigma_f$  is an invariant of a pair  $(M, f)$ , and we obtain (3). By the definition of Rohlin invariant, one can see

$$\frac{\sigma_f}{8} \equiv \mu(M) \pmod{2}.$$

Therefore, we get an integral lift  $\sigma_f/8$  of Rohlin invariant.

### 3. TWO NUMBERS $d_f$ AND $\sigma_f$

In this section, we define two integers  $d_f$  and  $\sigma_f$  used to define the invariant  $I(M)$ .

Let

$$\bar{C}_2(\hat{M}, f) = S^2 \cup_{\varphi_f} C_2(\hat{M})$$

be the attaching space by the map  $\varphi_f: \partial C_2(\hat{M}) \rightarrow S^2$ . Using the long exact sequence of  $(\bar{C}_2(\hat{M}, f), S^2)$ , we have an isomorphism

$$H^k(\bar{C}_2(\hat{M}, f); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } k = 0, 2, 4, 6 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following lemma define the integer  $d_f$ , this definition is similar to the cohomological definition of the Hopf invariant(c.f. [9]).

**Lemma 3.1.** *There exists a graded ring isomorphism*

$$H^*(\bar{C}_2(\hat{M}, f); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[a, b]/(a^2 - d_f b, b^2)$$

for some integer  $d_f$ , where  $\deg a = 2$ ,  $\deg b = 4$ .

This number  $d_f$  is nothing but the Casson invariant for the framed 3-manifold ([11]).

Let  $L_{S^2} \rightarrow S^2$  be a complex line bundle with Euler number 1, and  $s_{S^2}$  a generic section. We can assume that  $s_{S^2}^{-1}(0)$  consists just one point. Set  $L_f = \varphi_f^* L_{S^2}$ ,  $s_f = \varphi_f^* s_{S^2}$ . Then  $s_f : \partial C_2(\hat{M}) \rightarrow L_f$  is a generic section, and  $s_f^{-1}(0) \cong M \# M \# (-M)$ . Since the inclusion  $\partial C_2(\hat{M}) \hookrightarrow C_2(\hat{M})$  induces an isomorphism on  $H^2$ , there exists only one isomorphism class of a complex line bundle  $\tilde{L}_f \rightarrow C_2(\hat{M})$  such that  $\tilde{L}_f|_{\partial C_2(\hat{M})} = L_f$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_f & \longrightarrow & \tilde{L}_f \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \partial C_2(\hat{M}) & \longrightarrow & C_2(\hat{M}) \end{array}$$

Let  $\tilde{s}_f : C_2(\hat{M}) \rightarrow \tilde{L}_f$  be a generic section such that  $\tilde{s}_f|_{\partial C_2(\hat{M})} = s_f$ . Let

$$X_f = \tilde{s}_f^{-1}(0),$$

then  $X_f$  is an oriented compact smooth 4-manifold with boundary  $\partial X_f \cong M \# M \# (-M)$ . Define

$$\sigma_f = \text{Sign } X_f.$$

Another choices  $\tilde{s}'_f$  give the save value of  $\sigma_f$ , because a generic homotopy between  $\tilde{s}_f$  and  $\tilde{s}'_f$  gives a cobordism between  $X_f$  and  $X'_f$ .

**Definition 3.2.**

$$\begin{aligned} I(M) &= \frac{d_f - \sigma_f}{8} \\ I(M, f) &= (I(M), d_f) \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. STATEMENTS AND EXAMPLES

Let  $(M, f)$  be an oriented closed homology 3-sphere with a framing of  $T\hat{M}$ . Let  $I(M)$  be the number defined in Definition 3.2.

**Theorem 1** ([14]).  *$I(M)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued topological invariant of  $M$ , and it equals to Casson invariant of  $M$ .*

Now, we see some examples of the calculations of  $I(M)$  and  $I(M, f)$ .

**4.1. Case of  $M = S^3$  with Euclidean framing.** Let  $M = S^3$ , then  $\hat{M} = \mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $f_{\mathbb{R}^3}$  be the Euclidean framing on  $T\mathbb{R}^3$ , and we have  $\varphi_{f_{\mathbb{R}^3}} = \varphi_{\mathbb{R}^3}$ .

One can take the line bundle  $\tilde{L}_{f_{\mathbb{R}^3}} \rightarrow C_2(\hat{M})$  as the pull-back bundle  $\varphi_{f_{\mathbb{R}^3}}^* L_{S^2}$ , and the pull-back section

$$\tilde{s}_{f_{\mathbb{R}^3}} = \varphi_{\mathbb{R}^3}^* s_{S^2} : C_2(\hat{M}) \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{f_{\mathbb{R}^3}}$$

is generic. If  $v = s_{S^2}^{-1}(0)$ , then we have

$$X_{f_{\mathbb{R}^3}} = \tilde{s}_{f_{\mathbb{R}^3}}^{-1}(0) = \varphi_{\mathbb{R}^3}^{-1}(v) \cong \mathbb{R}^4,$$

and so, we obtain  $\sigma_{f_{\mathbb{R}^3}} = 0$ .

Since  $\bar{C}_2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cong S^4 \times S^2$ , we have the ring isomorphism

$$H^*(\bar{C}_2(\hat{M}, f); \mathbb{Z}) \cong R_0,$$

this implies  $d_{f_{\mathbb{R}^3}} = 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$I(S^3) = \frac{d_{f_{\mathbb{R}^3}} - \sigma_{f_{\mathbb{R}^3}}}{8} = \frac{0 - 0}{8} = 0$$

and

$$I(S^3, f_{\mathbb{R}^3}) = (I(M), d_{f_{\mathbb{R}^3}}) = (0, 0).$$

**4.2. Case of  $M = S^3$  with any framings.** Let  $f$  be an any framing of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which is always obtained by  $f = g f_{\mathbb{R}^3}$  for some compact supported map  $g : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow SO(3)$ . Any framings on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are classified by the degree  $\deg g \in \mathbb{Z}$  of the induced homomorphism  $g^* : H_c^3(SO(3); \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_c^3(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{Z})$ .

Let  $n = \deg g$ . The map  $\varphi_f : \partial C_2(\hat{M}) \cong S^3 \times S^2 \rightarrow S^2$  essentially equals to the evaluation map  $ev_g$  as follows:

$$ev_g : S^3 \times S^2 \rightarrow S^2, \quad (x, v) \mapsto g(x)v$$

Therefore, we have  $\bar{C}_2(\hat{M}, f) \cong S(E_n)$ , where  $\pi : E_n \rightarrow S^4$  is a real vector bundle with  $\langle [S^4], p_1(E_n) \rangle = 4n$  and  $S(E_n)$  the associated sphere bundle. Calculating the characteristic classes of  $TS(E_n)$  and  $\pi^* E_n([13])$ , we obtain that  $d_f = n$  and  $\sigma_f = n$ . This implies that

$$I(S^3, f) = \left( \frac{d_f - \sigma_f}{8}, d_f \right) = (0, n),$$

and of course, we obtain  $I(S^3) = 0$  again.

**4.3. Connected sum.** Let  $(M_1, f_1)$ ,  $(M_2, f_2)$  be framed manifolds. Set  $M = M_1 \# M_2$ ,  $f = f_1 \# f_2$ . Let us think  $\hat{M}_1 = M_1 \# \mathbb{R}_1$ ,  $\hat{M}_2 = M_2 \# \mathbb{R}_2$ , where

$$\mathbb{R}_1 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_1 < 0\}, \quad \mathbb{R}_2 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_1 > 0\},$$

and each  $f_i$  is a framing over  $\hat{M}_i$  compatible with the Euclidean framing on the end. Moreover, we suppose that  $\hat{M}_i$  is the connected sum at around a point in  $M_i$  and around  $(\pm R, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}_i$  for some large number  $R \gg 1$ . Then we can take  $\hat{M}$  as

$$\hat{M}_1 \cup V \cup \hat{M}_2$$

such that the  $M_1$ -part and  $M_2$ -part in  $\hat{M}$  are very far each other, where  $V = (-1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Next, we will construct  $\varphi_f: \partial C_2(\hat{M}) \rightarrow S^2$ . Define a map

$$\varphi_{ij}: (V \cup \hat{M}_i) \times (V \cup \hat{M}_j) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow S^2$$

as follows ( $i, j = 1, 2$ ,  $i \neq j$ ). Let  $h: \hat{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be the map obtained by collapsing each  $M_i$ -part to  $(\pm R, 0, 0)$ . Then  $\varphi_{ij}(x, y)$  is defined by

$$\varphi_{ij}(x, y) = \frac{h(y) - h(x)}{\|h(y) - h(x)\|}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\varphi}_{f_i}: C_2(M_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^3$  be the classifying map of  $\tilde{L}_{f_i}$ . Let  $\tilde{\varphi}_f: C_2(\hat{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^3$  be an extension map of  $\tilde{\varphi}_f$  obtained from  $\tilde{\varphi}_{f_1}$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}_{f_2}$  and  $\varphi_{ij}$ . Note that any two such maps coincide on these common domain. Let  $\mathbb{C}P^{2'} \subset \mathbb{C}P^3$  be a submanifold homologous to  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  that transversally intersect with  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \cong S^2$  at one point  $v = (1, 0, 0) \in S^2$ . There exists a generic section of the complex line bundle  $L_{\mathbb{C}P^3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^3$  with  $c_1(L_{\mathbb{C}P^3}) = 1$  such that the pre-image of zero is  $\mathbb{C}P^{2'}$ . Hence

$$X_f = \varphi_f(\mathbb{C}P^{2'}) \cong X_{f_1} \# X_{f_2},$$

this means  $\sigma_f = \sigma_{f_1} + \sigma_{f_2}$ . And also it is easy to see that  $d_f = d_{f_1} + d_{f_2}$ . Therefore, we obtain the following:

**Proposition 4.1.**

$$I(M, f) = I(M_1, f_1) + I(M_2, f_2)$$

$$I(M) = I(M_1) + I(M_2)$$

**4.4. Opposite orientation.** Let  $M'$  be  $M$  with the opposite orientation, and let  $f' = (-f_1, f_2, f_3)$  where  $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ . By the definition of  $d_f$  and  $\sigma_f$ , we have

$$d_{f'} = -d_f, \quad \sigma_{f'} = -\sigma_f.$$

This implies that

**Proposition 4.2.**

$$I(M', f') = -I(M, f)$$

$$I(M') = -I(M)$$

## 5. OUTLINE OF PROOF

**5.1. Integrality of  $I(M)$ .** Since  $\Omega_5^{spin}(S^2) = 0$  ([15]), there exists an oriented compact smooth spin 6-manifold  $Z$  with a complex line bundle  $L_Z \rightarrow Z$  such that

$$\partial Z = \partial C_2(\hat{M}), \quad L_Z|_{\partial C_2(\hat{M})} = L_f$$

and the image of the classifying map of  $L_Z$  is contained in  $S^2$ . Let

$$W = C_2(\hat{M}) \cup_{\partial C_2(\hat{M})} Z, \quad L_W = \tilde{L}_f \cup_{L_f} L_Z \rightarrow W.$$

Then,  $(W, L_W)$  is an oriented closed smooth spin 6-manifold with a complex line bundle. Applying the index theorem to  $(W, L_W)$ , one can see that the integral

$$\int_W ch(L_W) \hat{\mathcal{A}}(TW)$$

is an integer (c.f. [12]). Here,  $ch$  is the Chern character and  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  is the  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -genus. This value equals to

$$I(M) - \frac{\text{Sign } X_Z}{8},$$

where  $X_Z$  is the pre-image of 0 of a generic section, which is an extension of  $s_f$  of  $L_f$ , of  $L_Z$ . Since  $X_Z$  is spin and  $\partial X_Z$  is a homology 3-sphere, we have  $\text{Sign } X_Z \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ . Therefore, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.1.** *The number  $I(M)$  is an integer.*



**5.2. Topological invariance of  $I(M)$ .** Let  $f, f'$  be framings of  $T\hat{M}$ .  $f'$  can be represented by  $f' = gf$  for some  $g: \hat{M} \rightarrow SO(3)$ . Then  $(M, f') \cong (M \# S^3, f \# gf_{\mathbb{R}^3})$ . According to Proposition 4.1,

$$I(M, f') = I(M, f) + I(S^3, gf_{\mathbb{R}^3}) = I(M, f) + (0, \deg g).$$

In other words,

$$d_{f'} = d_f + \deg g, \quad \sigma_{f'} = \sigma_f + \deg g.$$

In particular,  $I(M)$  does not depend on  $f$ . Therefore

**Proposition 5.2.**  *$I(M)$  is an topological invariant of  $M$ .*

**5.3. Casson invariant.** Kuperberg-Thurston showed that some value  $\tilde{I}_1(M)$  is Casson invariant by using the theory of finite type invariants of homology 3-spheres in their paper [11]. The invariant  $\tilde{I}(M)$  is constructed as follows. First, define

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(M) &= \frac{1}{6} \langle \bar{C}_2(\hat{M}, f), c_1(L_f)^3 \rangle, \\ \delta_1(M) &= \frac{1}{24} \langle X_f, p_1(TC_2(\hat{M})|_{X_f}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

And then  $I(M)$  is defined by

$$\tilde{I}_1(M) = I_1(M) - \delta_1(M).$$

Calculating characteristic classes, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.3.**  $\tilde{I}_1(M) = I(M)$ .

By Proposition 5.3, we obtain Theorem 1. To prove that  $I(M)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued topological invariant, one only need Proposition 5.1, 5.2.

## 6. CASSON INVARIANT AS A SIGNATURE

By § 5.2, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.1.** *There exists only one framing  $f_M$  of  $T\hat{M}$  such that  $d_{f_M} = 0$ .*

Therefore, we have

$$I(M) = \frac{d_{f_M} - \sigma_{f_M}}{8} = -\frac{\sigma_{f_M}}{8}.$$

**Theorem 2.**

$$\lambda(M) = -\frac{\sigma_{f_M}}{8}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Selman Akbulut and John D. McCarthy. *Casson's invariant for oriented homology 3-spheres*, volume 36 of *Mathematical Notes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990. An exposition.
- [2] Scott Axelrod and I. M. Singer. Chern-Simons perturbation theory. In *Proceedings of the XXth International Conference on Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics, Vol. 1, 2 (New York, 1991)*, pages 3–45, River Edge, NJ, 1992. World Sci. Publishing.
- [3] Scott Axelrod and I. M. Singer. Chern-Simons perturbation theory. II. *J. Differential Geom.*, 39(1):173–213, 1994.
- [4] Raoul Bott and Alberto S. Cattaneo. Integral invariants of 3-manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 48(1):91–133, 1998.
- [5] Raoul Bott and Alberto S. Cattaneo. Integral invariants of 3-manifolds. II. *J. Differential Geom.*, 53(1):1–13, 1999.
- [6] Raoul Bott and Clifford Taubes. On the self-linking of knots. *J. Math. Phys.*, 35(10):5247–5287, 1994. Topology and physics.
- [7] Ronald Fintushel and Ronald J. Stern. Instanton homology of Seifert fibred homology three spheres. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 61(1):109–137, 1990.
- [8] Shinji Fukuhara, Yukio Matsumoto, and Koichi Sakamoto. Casson's invariant of Seifert homology 3-spheres. *Math. Ann.*, 287(2):275–285, 1990.
- [9] Dale Husemoller. *Fibre bundles*, volume 20 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 1994.
- [10] Maxim Kontsevich. Feynman diagrams and low-dimensional topology. In *First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992)*, volume 120 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 97–121. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.
- [11] Greg Kuperberg and Dylan P. Thurston. Perturbative 3-manifold invariants by cut-and-paste topology. *math.GT/9912167*, 1999.
- [12] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn. *Spin geometry*, volume 38 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [13] John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff. *Characteristic classes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1974. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76.
- [14] Tetsuhiro Moriyama. The configuration space of points and the casson invariant. *preprint*, 2004.
- [15] Robert E. Stong. *Notes on cobordism theory*. Mathematical notes. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1968.

*E-mail address:* tetsuhir@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

*URL:* <http://www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp/~tetsuhir/>

*Current address:* Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, Tokyo University, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan